International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

NEW ALGEBRAIC CHARACTERIZATIONS OF MIDDLE BOL LOOP

B. Osoba[1], O. A. Nuga[2], O. Oyewole[3], L. O. Adekola[4], E. A. Alhassan[5], M. T. Adenibuyan[6], O. A. Balogun[7], E. O. K. Shobanke[8] and K. A. Oyewole[9]

[1,2,3,4]Department of Physical Science, [6,7]Department of Computer science, [9]Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Bells University of Technology, Nigeria.
e-mail: benardomth@gmail.com[1], oanuga@bellsuniversity.edu.ng[2], O_oyewole@bellsuniversity.edu.ng[3], loadekola@bellsuniversity.edu.ng[4] mtadenibuyan@bellsuniversity.edu.ng[6], tabalogun@bellsuniversity.edu.ng[7] kaoyewole@bellsuniversity.edu.ng[9]

[5]Department of Mathematics, C.K. Tedam University of Tech. and Appl. Sci., Navrongo, Ghana.
e-mail: eaalhassan@cktutas.edu.gh[5]

[8]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Federal Polytechnic Ilaro.
e-mail: emmanuel.shobane@federalpolyilara.edu.ng[8]

Abstract

The concept of isostrophy of a loop is a generalization of parastrophy since an isostrophy of a loop is a map (transfor-

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

mation) that combines an isotopy (bijections) with paras-trophy. This paper examines the properties of the middle Bol loop (MBL) under the isostrophy of a loop to further introduce new algebraic characteristics of MBL. We establish a necessary and sufficient condition for some loops to be a MBL under isostrophy. It is revealed that a MBL under isostrophy of a loop has an alternative property. The necessary and sufficient conditions for a MBL under the isostrophy of a loop to be an inverse property loop were shown. We show that a middle Bol loop under isostrophy is a Steiner loop. In addition, it is demonstrated that a commutative loop under the isostrophy of MBL is Moufang. It is further obtained that commutative inverse property loops under the isostrophy of MBL are commutative Moufang loops.

Math. Subject Classification: 20N05; 08A05.

Key Words and Phrases: Isostrophy, middle Bol loop, Moufang loop

1 Introduction

A pair $(Q, \odot)$ is known as a groupoid if Q is a non-empty set and"$\odot$ " is a binary operation in Q, such that $s \odot y \in Q$ for all $s, y \in Q$. If each of the equations:

$$a \odot y = b \quad \text{and} \quad s \odot a = b$$

has unique solutions in G for y and s respectively, then $(Q, \odot)$ is called a quasigroup. If $(Q, \odot)$ is a quasigroup and there is a unique element e in Q called the identity element, which has the property that for any s in Q, $s \odot e = e \odot s = s$, then $(Q, \odot)$ is called to as a loop.

We sometimes write sy instead of $s \odot y$ and declare that juxtaposition of factors to be multiplied has higher precedence than $\odot$. If $(Q, \odot)$ is a groupoid and "a" is a fixed element in Q, then the left L_a and right R_a translations are respectively defined by $sL_a = a \odot s$

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

and $sR_a = s \odot a$. Also, the map $E_s: Q \to Q$ defined by $y\backslash s = yE_s$ and $s/y = yE^{-1}$ are called middle translations.

Every quasigroup $(Q, \odot)$ belongs to a set of six quasigroup, called adjugates by (Fisher, Yates [7] 1934) and parastrophes by (Belousov [4], 1967) and conjugates by (Stein [35], 1957).

Definition 1. (Shcherbacov [37, 36], 2008): Given a groupoid $(Q, \odot)$ with a binary operation" $\odot$ " such that the equality $(a_1 \odot a_2) = a_3$ shown the result for any two elements of a_1, a_2, a_3. It follows that for any quasigroup $(Q, \odot)$, have six of its substructures called parastrophes, that is $(Q, \odot) : (a_1 \odot a_2) = a_3 \Longleftrightarrow (a_2 \odot^{(12)} a_1) = a_3 \Longleftrightarrow (a_3 \odot^{(13)} a_2) = a_1 \Longleftrightarrow (a_1 \odot^{(23)} a_2) = a_3 \Longleftrightarrow (a_2 \odot^{(123)} a_3) = a_1 \Longleftrightarrow (a_3 \odot^{(132)} a_1) = a_2$.

Definition 2. Let $(Q, \odot)$ be a quasigroup, then the isostrophy of $(Q, \odot)$ is a map (transformation) that is a combination of isotopy (bijections) with parastrophy. That is, the isostrophic images of a quasigroup $(Q, \odot)$ are parastrophic images of its isotopic images.

Definition 3. (Shcherbacov [37, 36], 2008): Let $(Q, \odot)$ be a quasigroup, $(Q, \circ)$ is an isostrophic image of $(Q, \odot)$ if there ex-ists a collection of permutations $(\sigma, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)) = (\sigma, T)$, where $\sigma \in S_3, T = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are permutation of the set Q such that $(a_1 \circ a_2) = (a_1 \odot a_2)(\sigma, T) = (\odot^{\sigma}(a_1, a_2))T = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha_1 a_{\sigma^{-1}1}, \alpha_2 a_{\sigma^{-1}2})$ for all $a_1, a_2 \in Q$. A collection of permutation $(\sigma, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)) = (\sigma, T)$ is called isostrophy of a quasigroup $(Q, \odot)$.

Definition 4. Let Q be a non-empty set, the set of all permutations on Q forms a group $SYM(Q)$ called the symmetric group of Q. Let $(Q, \odot)$ be a loop and let $A, B, C \in SYM(Q)$. If

$$sA \odot yB = (s \odot y)C \; \forall \; s, y \in G,$$

then the triple (A, B, C) is called an autotopism and such triples form a group $AUT(G, \odot)$ called the autotopism group of $(Q, \odot)$. If $A = B = C$, then A is called an isomorphism of $(Q, \odot)$ which form a group $AUM(Q, \odot)$ called the isomorphism group of $(Q, \odot)$.

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Definition 5. (Pflugfelder [33], 1990) Let $(Q, \cdot)$ and $(Q, \odot)$ be two quasigroup, then $(Q, \odot)$ is said to be isotope to $(Q, \cdot)$ if there exist three bijections $\alpha, \beta, \gamma : Q_{\cdot} \rightarrow Q^{\odot}$ such that $s\alpha \cdot y\beta = (s \odot y)\gamma \; \forall \; s, y \in Q$.

For more on quasigroups and loops, see (Jaiyéọlá [19], 2009), (Shcherbacov [44], 2017), (Pflugfelder [33], 1990) and Osoba et al. [25, 26, 27]

Definition 6. Let $(Q, \odot)$ be a "certain" loop where "certain" is an isomorphic invariant property. $(Q, \odot)$ is a universal "certain" loop if and only if every f, g-principal isotope $(Q, \star)$ of $(Q, \odot)$ has the "certain" loop property.

The invariant properties under the isotopy of a loop are called universal properties. It is well known that the RIP, LIP, and AAIP of a loop are respectively universal in a loop if and only if the loops are right Bol, left Bol, and middle Bol. Also, in a loop $(Q, \odot)$, both right and left inverse properties are universal if and only if the loop $(Q, \odot)$ is Moufang.

Definition 7. A loop $(G, \odot)$ is called a MBL if

$$(a/b)(c\backslash a) = (a/(cb))a \; or \; (a/b)(c\backslash a) = a((cb)\backslash a) \qquad (1)$$

for all $a, b, c \in Q$.

Initially, MBL were explored by V. D. Belousov [4], who established identities (1) delineating loops that satisfy the universal anti-automorphic inverse property. Building upon Belousov's foundational work, Gvaramiya [11] unveiled the isostrophic relationship between the right (left) Bol loop and a MBL structure in 1971. Following these insightful findings by Gvaramiya [11], research work on MBLs remained scarce until 1994 and 1996, when Syrbu [38, 39] conducted a study on the universality of the flexible law. In 2003, Kuznetsov investigated gyrogroups, which are a subclass of Bol loops, and elucidated various algebraic traits of the MBL. Additionally, he demonstrated a method for constructing a MBL from a

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

gyrogroup. Furthermore, a new identity of MBL was discovered in 2012 by Drapal and Shcherbacov [6]. In 2010, Syrbu [40] conducted research on the isostrophic relationships between the structure and characterization of MBLs and their corresponding left Bol loops. In 2012, Grecu and Syrbu [10] unveiled that two MBLs are only isotopic if and only if the corresponding right (left) Bol loops are also isotopic. Expanding on their findings, in 2013, Syrbu and Grecu [41] extended their results to the quotient structure of a MBL.

In 2014, Grecu [42] established that the right multiplication group of a MBL coincides with the left multiplication group of the corresponding right Bol loop. Additionally, in the same year, Grecu and Syrbu [9] investigated the commutant loop of a MBL, demonstrating that a commutant loop of an MBL is an AIP-subloop. Furthermore, in 2018, the multiplicative group of MBL related to the right Bol loop was examined in [22], while the characterization of isostrophic connections between quasigroups and loops was studied in [23].

The characterizations of Bryant-Schneider group under the struc-ture of Smarandache loop and Osborn loop, along with their universality, were examined by Jaiyéolá [15] in 2008, and by Jaiyéolá et al. [17, 18] in 2011 and 2013. In 2017, Jaiyéolá et al. [12] examined the holomorphic structure of the MBL. Generalized Bol loops were the subject of study by Adeniran et al. [1] in 2014 and by Jaiyéolá and Popoola [16] in 2015. Further exploration into the structure of MBLs was conducted by Jaiyéolá et al. [14] in 2018, where new algebraic identities were obtained. Recently, a studied on a characterization of invariant loops under isostrophy was presented by Syrbu and Grecu [43].

In 2021, Jaiyéọlá et al. [13] introduced additional algebraic properties of the MBL, while the parastrophy of MBL were explored in [31]. Additionally, Osoba et al. [28], in 2025 investigated the algebraic characterizations of the generalized MBL. It was shown that $(12)-$parastrophy of GMBL is a GMBL. The authors further revealed the conditions for $(13)-$ and $(123)-$parastrophes of a GMBL to be GMBL and further revealed the holomorphic properties of

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

GMBL which is the generalization of the results of Jaiyéolá ẹt al [12].

In 2022, Osoba and Jaiyéolá [24] established algebraic connections between the MBL and RBL, along with their cores. They provided a necessary and sufficient condition for the core of a right Bol loop to exhibit elastic property and right idempotent law. Building upon the work of the author in [15], Osoba [32] and Osoba et al. [30] further studied some properties of MBL. In 2023, Jaiyéolá et al. [20] conducted a study on the Bryant-Schneider group of a MBL. Most recently, Osoba et al. [26] unveiled Bryant-Schneider group Characterizations of GMBL and revealed the condition for the two identities of generalized middle Bol loop to be equivalent.

Definition 8. A quasigroup $(Q, \odot)$ is said to have

1. LIP if there exists a mapping $J_\lambda : s \to s^\lambda$ such that $s^\lambda \odot st = t$ for all $s, t \in Q$.
2. RIP if there exists a mapping $J_\rho : s \to s^\rho$ such that $ts \odot s^\rho = t$ for all $s, t \in Q$.
3. a RAP if $t \odot ss = ts \odot s$ for all $s.t \in Q$.
4. a LAP if $s \odot st = ss \odot t$ for all $s, t \in Q$.
5. flexible or elastic if $st \odot s = s \odot ts$ holds for all $s, t \in Q$.
6. a power associative loop if $< s >$ is a subgroup for all $s \in Q$ and a diassociative loop if $< s, t >$ is a subgroup for all $s, t \in Q$.

Definition 9. A loop $(Q, \odot)$ is said to be:

1. a commutative loop if $R_s = L_s$ for all $s \in G$.
2. an anti- automorphic inverse property loop (AAIPL) if $(s \odot t)^\rho = t^\rho \odot s^\rho$ or $=$ or $(s \odot t)^{-1} = t^{-1} \odot s^{-1}$ for all $s, t \in G$.

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Definition 10. A loop $(Q, \odot)$ is called a:

1. right Bol loop if $(st \odot z)t = s(tz \odot t)$ for all $s, t, z \in Q$.
2. Moufang loop if $(st \odot z)t = s(t \odot zt), tz \odot st = t(zs \odot t)$ and $(tz \odot t)s = t(z \odot ts)$ for all $s, t, z \in Q$.

Definition 11. A groupoid (quasigroup) $(Q, \odot)$ is

1. right symmetric if $ts \odot s = t$ for all $s, t \in Q$
2. left symmetric if $s \odot st = t$ for all $s, t \in Q$
3. middle symmetric if $s \odot ts = t$ or $st \odot s = t$ for all $s, t \in Q$.

Theorem 12. [33] A quasigroup $(Q, \odot)$ is totally symmetric if and only if $st = ts$ and $s(s \odot t) = t$ for all $s, t \in Q$.

Theorem 13. [33] A loop $(Q, \odot)$ is totally symmetric if and only if $(Q, \odot)$ is a commutative I.P. loop of exponent two.

Corollary 14. [33] Every T.S. quasigroup is a commutative I.P. quasigroup.

Definition 15. [33] If a totally symmetric quasigroup $(Q, \odot)$ is a loop, then it is called Steiner loop.

In Definition 1 shows that every parastrophy of a quasigroup has six sub quasigroups, which were used in Lemma 16 to present the isotopic characterization of a quasigroup which is further characterized as loop in Lemma 17. We used the parastrophes in Lemma 17 on Definition 7 to present the necessary and sufficient condition for some certain loops to be a middle Bol loop under the isostrophe. It is well known that a middle Bol loop is not an inverse property loop, therefore it cannot be a Moufang loop. In continuation of previous research, this study is aimed to investigate the loops that are MBL under the isostrophic characterization of Lemma 17. A necessary and sufficient condition for the invariant MBL under the

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

isostrophy of the loop is found. It was reviewed that the MBL under the isostrophy of a loop is a left (right) alternative property (LAP) RAP, Steiner loop, and a left (right) inverse property loop LIP (RIP). In addition, commutative inverse property loops with universal MBL under the isostophes are Moufang loops.

2 Main Results

Lemma 16

Let (Q,⊗) be isotopic to a quasigroup (Q,⊙,\,/) with isotopy (ϕ,β,γ). For any maps ϕ,β,γ : Q(⊗) → Q(⊙) and for all s,y ∈ Q, the following equations hold:

1. $s \otimes y = (s\beta \odot y\gamma)\,\phi^{-1}$
2. $s \otimes y = (y\gamma \odot (12)\, s\beta)\,\phi^{-1}$
3. $s \otimes y = (s\beta /_{(13)} y\gamma)\,\phi^{-1}$
4. $s \otimes y = (s\beta \backslash_{(23)} y\gamma)\,\phi^{-1}$
5. $s \otimes y = (y\gamma \backslash_{(123)} s\beta)\,\phi^{-1}$
6. $s \otimes y = (y\gamma /_{(132)} s\beta)\,\phi^{-1}$

Proof

1. By definition of the isotopy (ϕ,β,γ), we have $s \otimes y = \phi^{-1}(s\beta \odot y\gamma)$.

2. Applying the (12)-permutation to the factors in the ⊙-product yields $s \otimes y = \phi^{-1}(y\gamma \odot (12)\, s\beta)$.

3. Let $s\beta \odot y\gamma = z\phi$. Solving by right division gives $z\phi = s\beta / y\gamma$, hence $s \otimes y = \phi^{-1}(s\beta /_{(13)} y\gamma)$.

□

4. Let $s\beta \odot y\gamma = z\phi$. Solving by left division gives $z\phi = s\beta \backslash y\gamma$, hence

$s \otimes y = \phi^{-1}(s\beta \backslash_{(23)} y\gamma)$.

5. From $s\beta \odot y\gamma = z\phi \text{ and } y\gamma \odot z\phi = s\beta, \text{we get } z\phi = y\gamma \backslash s\beta, \text{so}$

$$s \otimes y = \phi^{-1}(y\gamma \backslash_{(123)} s\beta).$$

6. From $s\beta \odot y\gamma = z\phi$ and $z\phi \odot s\beta = y\gamma$, we get $z\phi = y\gamma / s\beta$, so $s \otimes y = \phi^{-1}(y\gamma /_{(132)} s\beta)$.

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Lemma 17

Let (Q, ⊗) be a loop. The isostrophy given to any loop (Q, ⊙) such that the mappings $\phi, \beta, \gamma :$ $Q \to Q$ defined on the loop operation (Q, ⊗) have the following six forms:

1. If $s \otimes y = (y\gamma \setminus s\beta)\phi^{-1}$, then $\otimes = (\setminus)(\phi E_g^{-1}, \phi L_h, \phi)$. That is, (Q, ⊗) ≅ (Q, ∗), where $s * y = (yE_g^{-1} \setminus sL_h)$.

2. If $s \otimes y = (s\beta \setminus y\gamma)\ \phi^{-1}$, then $\otimes = (\setminus)(\phi E_h^{-1}, \phi L_g, \phi)$. That is, (Q, ⊗) ≅ (Q, ∗), where $s * y = (sE_h^{-1} \setminus yL_g)$.

3. If s⊗y = (sβ / yγ) ϕ^{-1}, then $\otimes = (/)(\phi R_h, \phi E_g, \phi)$. That is, $(Q,\otimes) \cong (Q,*)$, where $s * y = (sR_h / yE_g)$.

4. If $s \otimes y = (s\beta \odot y\gamma)\ \phi^{-1}$, then $\otimes = (\odot)(\phi R_h^{-1}, \phi L_g^{-1}, \phi)$. That is, $(Q,\otimes) \cong (Q,*)$, where

$s * y = (sR_h^{-1} \odot yL_g^{-1})$.

5. If $s \otimes y = (y\gamma / s\beta)\ \phi^{-1}$, then $\otimes = (/)(\phi R_g, \phi E_h, \phi)$. That is, $(Q,\otimes) \cong (Q,*)$, where $s * y = (yR_g / sE_h)$.

6. If $s \otimes y = (y\gamma \circ s\beta)\phi^{-1}$, then $\otimes = (\circ)(\phi R_g^{-1}, \phi L_h^{-1}, \phi)$. That is, $(Q,\otimes) \cong (Q,*)$, where $s * y = (yR_g^{-1} \circ sL_h^{-1})$.

Proof

1. Considering the isostrophic loop $s \otimes y = (y\gamma \setminus s\beta)\phi^{-1}$, let $s = e$ the identity element in Q and setting $e\beta = g$, we have $y\phi = y\gamma \setminus g = y\gamma E_g \ \forall\, y \in Q. \Rightarrow \gamma = \phi {E_g}^{-1}$. (2)

Also, let $y = e$ the identity element in Q, setting $e\gamma = h$, we have

$s\phi = h \setminus s\beta = s\beta {L_h}^{-1} \ \forall\, s \in Q. So\ \beta = \phi L_h$. ` (3)

Using equations (2) and (3), the isostrophy in Lemma 17(1) implies

$\otimes = (\setminus)(\phi E_g^{-1}, \phi L_h, \phi)$Thus

$(Q,\otimes) \cong (Q,*)$, where $s * y = (y {E_g}^{-1} \setminus sL_h)$. (4)

2. Considering the isostrophic loop $s \otimes y = (s\beta \setminus y\gamma)\phi^{-1}$, setting $s = e$ the identity element in Q and denoting $e\beta = g$, we have $y\phi = g \setminus y\gamma = y\gamma {L_g}^{-1} \ \forall\, y \in Q$. Thus $\gamma = \phi L_g$.
(5)

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Also, setting $y = e$, the identity element in Q, let $e\gamma = h$. Then $s\phi = s\beta \setminus h = s\beta E_h \; \forall \; s \in Q. So \; \beta = \phi E_h^{-1}$. (6)

Using (5) and (6), Lemma 17(2) implies $\otimes = (\setminus)(\phi E_h^{-1}, \phi L_g, \phi)$.. Thus $(Q,\otimes) \cong (Q,*)$, where $s * y = (sE_h^{-1} \setminus yL_g)$. (7)

3. Considering the isostrophic loop $s \otimes y = (s\beta \odot y\gamma)\phi^{-1}$, let $s = e$ and set $e\beta = g$. Then $y\phi = g \odot y\gamma = y\gamma L_g \; \forall \; y \in Q$. So $\gamma = \phi L_g^{-1}$. (8)

Also, setting $y = e$ and $e\gamma = h$, we have $s\phi = s\beta \odot h =$

$s\beta Rh \; \forall \; s \in Q$. So $\beta = \phi R_h^{-1}$. (9)

Using (8) and (9), Lemma 17(3) implies $\otimes = (\odot)(\phi R_h^{-1}, \phi L_g^{-1}, \phi)$. Thus $(Q,\otimes) \cong (Q,*)$, where $s * y = (sR_h^{-1} \odot yL_g^{-1})$. (10)

The results for (4), (5), and (6) of Lemma 17 follow by similar steps as in cases (1), (2), and (3)

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Theorem 18. Let $(Q,\odot,\backslash,/)$ be a loop. Any loop isostrophic to $(Q,\odot,\backslash,/)$ is a MBL if and only if

$$(gy/s)\backslash((h/z)\odot s) = (h/s)\backslash[h/(h/z)\backslash gy \odot s] \tag{11}$$

$$(s\odot z\backslash h)/(s\backslash yg) = [s\odot yg/(z\backslash h)\backslash h]/(s\backslash h) \tag{12}$$

$$s/(h\backslash z)\odot(y/g)\backslash s = s/[h\backslash(y/g)\odot(h\backslash z)]\odot h\backslash s \tag{13}$$

for all $s,y,z,g,h,\in Q$.

Proof. 1. Using Lemma 17(2) on MBL,

$$(s/^{*}y)*(z\backslash^{*}s) = s*((z*y)\backslash^{*}s) \tag{14}$$

where: $s*y = (sE_h^{-1}\backslash yL_g)$.

Considering the LHS of the identity (14), it is not difficult to see that

$$s/^{*}y = (yL_g/s)E_h \quad \text{and} \quad z\backslash^{*}s = (zE_h^{-1}\odot s)L_g^{-1}.$$

Then, $(s/^{*}y)*(z*s) = (yL_g/s)E_hE_h^{-1}\backslash(zE_h^{-1}\odot s)L_g^{-1}L_g =$
$(yL_g/s)\backslash(zE_h^{-1}\odot s)$.

Thus,

$$(s/^{*}y)*(z\backslash^{*}s) = (yL_g/s)\backslash(zE_h^{-1}\odot s). \tag{15}$$

Considering the RHS of identity (14), we have

$$s*((z*y)\backslash^{*}s) = sE^{-1}\backslash[(z*y)\backslash^{*}s]L_g = sE^{-1}\backslash(zE^{-1}\backslash yL_g)\backslash^{*}sL_g =$$
$$sE^{-1}\backslash(zE^{-1}\backslash yL_g)E^{-1}\odot sL^{-1}L_g^h$$
$$= sE^{-1}\backslash(zE^{-1}\backslash yL_g)E^{-1}\odot s.$$

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Thu, $s * ((z * y)\backslash^* s) = sE_h^{-1}\backslash(zE_h^{-1}\backslash yL_g)E_h^{-1} \odot s$ (16)

Using equalities (15) and (16), we have the RHS = LHS $\Leftrightarrow$

$$(yL_g/s)\backslash(zE_h^{-1} \odot s) = sE_h^{-1}\backslash (zE_h^{-1}\backslash yL_g)E_h^{-1} \odot s$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (gy/s)\backslash((h/z) \odot s) =$$

$$(h/s)\backslash\ h/[(h/z)\backslash gy] \odot s\ . \qquad (17)$$

2. Using $s * y = (yR_g/sE_h)$ of Lemma 17(5) on the identity (14) to obtains;

$$z\backslash^* s = (s \odot zE_h)R_g^{-1} \quad \text{and}$$

$$s/^* y = (s\backslash yR_g)\ E_h^{-1} \qquad (18)$$

And considering the LHS of (14), we have

$$(s/^* y) * (z\backslash^* s) =$$

$$(s \odot zE_h)R_g^{-1}]R_g/\ (s\backslash yR_g)E_h^{-1}\ E_h$$

$$= (s \odot zE_h)/(s\backslash yR_g).$$

Using the RHS of identity (14) to obtain

$$s * ((z * y)\backslash^* s) = [(z * y)\backslash^* s]R_g/sE_h =$$

$$[(yR_g/zE_h)\backslash^* s]R_g/sE_h$$

$$= \quad s \odot (yR_g/zE_h)E_hR_g^{-1}\ R_g/sE_h.$$

Then, RHS=LHS

$$\Leftrightarrow (s \odot zE_h)/(s\backslash yR_g) = \quad s \odot (yR_g/zE_h)E_h\ R_g^{-1}\ R_g/sE_h$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (s \odot zE_h)/(s\backslash yR_g) = \quad s \odot (yR_g/zE_h)E_h/sE_h \Leftrightarrow (s \odot z\backslash h)/(s\backslash yg) =$$

$$s \odot \quad yg/(z\backslash h)\backslash h\ /(s\backslash h). \qquad (19)$$

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

3. Using $s * y = (yR_g^{-1} \odot yL_h^{-1})$ on the LHS of identity (14), we have

$$s/^* y = (yR_g^{-1} \backslash s)L_h \qquad \text{and} \qquad z \backslash^* s = (s/zL_h^{-1})R_g. \quad (20)$$

Using (20) on the LHS of (14) to get

$$(s/^* y) * (z \backslash^* s) = (yR_g^{-1} \backslash s)L_h * (s/zL_h^{-1})R_g =$$
$$(s/zL_h^{-1})R_g \; R_g^{-1} \odot \; (yR_g^{-1} \backslash s)L_h \; L_h^{-1} =$$
$$(s/zL_h^{-1}) \odot (yR_g^{-1} \backslash s).$$

Thus,

$$(s/^* y) * (z \backslash^* s) = (s/zL_h^{-1}) \odot (yR_g^{-1}) \backslash s. \quad (21)$$

Considering the RHS, we have

$$s * (z * y \backslash^* s) = (z * y \backslash^* s) R_g^{-1} \odot sL_h^{-1}$$
$$= (yR_g^{-1} \odot zL_h^{-1}) \backslash^* s \; R_g^{-1} \odot sL_h^{-1}$$
$$= s/ \; (yR_g^{-1} \odot zL_h^{-1} L_h^{-1} \; R_g \; R_g^{-1} \odot sL_h^{-1}.$$

Hence, RHS=LHS

$$\Leftrightarrow (s/zL_h^{-1}) \odot (yR_g^{-1} \backslash s) =$$
$$s/ \; (yR_g^{-1} \odot zL_h^{-1}) L_h^{-1} \; R_g \; R_g^{-1} \odot sL_h^{-1} \Leftrightarrow$$
$$(s/zL_h^{-1}) \odot \; (yR_g^{-1} \backslash s) =$$
$$s/ \; (yR_g^{-1} \odot zL_h^{-1}) L_h^{-1} \odot sL_h^{-1}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow s/(h \backslash z) \odot (y/g) \backslash s = s/ \; h \backslash (y/g) \odot (h \backslash z) \; \odot h \backslash s. \quad (22)$$

□

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Therefore, we used the isostrophes 2, 5, and 6 of Lemma 17, on the identity (14) to obtain identities (11), (12) and (13) respectively. Analogously, using the isostrophes 1, 3 and 4 of Lemma 17 on identity (14), we shall obtain identities that are identically equivalent to the identities (11), (12) and (13) respectively. We further this study by considering the algebraic characterizations of the identities (11) and (12) above. Identities (13) is equivalent to identity obtained in Theorem 2.1 [13] when considered the principal isotope of the MBL.

Remark 1. The qualities (11), (12) and (13) are not equivalent in the study of properties of loop. Hence, the Example 2.1 below satisfies the equality in (11), but does not satisfy the identities in equations (12) and (13). Although, identity (13) is a middle Bol loop.

Example

$(\cdot)$	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h
a	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h
b	b	a	d	c	f	e	h	g
c	c	d	a	b	h	g	e	f
d	d	c	b	a	g	h	f	e
e	e	f	g	h	a	b	c	d
f	f	e	h	g	b	a	d	c
g	g	h	e	f	d	c	a	b
h	h	g	f	e	d	c	b	a

Theorem 19. Every loop $(Q, \odot, /, \backslash)$ isotrophic to MBL satisfies the following;

1. $(y/s)\backslash zs = (h/s)\backslash[h/(z\backslash y) \odot s]$.
2. $z(y\backslash z) = z/(z\backslash y)$ or $E_z L_z = L_z E^{-1}_z$.
3. $(y/s)\backslash s = (s/y) \odot s$ or $R^{-1}_s E_s = E^{-1}_s R_s$.
4. $(z \odot t) \odot t^{-1} = z$ (RIP) $\forall\ t, z, \in Q$.
5. $s = s^{-1}$.

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

6. $(h \odot s) \odot s = h \ \forall h,s, \in Q$.

7. $t \odot h^2 = (t \odot h) \odot h \ \forall t,h, \in Q$.

Proof. 1. Set $z \to h\backslash z$ and $y \to h\backslash y$ in (1), we have

$$(y/s)\backslash zs = h/s\backslash h/(z\backslash y) \odot s \qquad (23)$$

2. Set $s = e$ and $h \to z$ in (23), we have

$$y\backslash z = z\backslash(z/(z\backslash y)) \Rightarrow z \odot (y\backslash z) = z/(z\backslash y) \Rightarrow E_z L_z = L_z E_z^{-1}$$

3. Let $z = e$ and $h \to s$ in (23), we have $(y/s)\backslash s = (s/y)s$

4. Put $h = s = e$ in (23), give

$$y\backslash z = e/(z\backslash y) \Rightarrow y\backslash z = (z\backslash y)^{-1} \Rightarrow z = y \odot (z\backslash y)^{-1}$$

Let $z\backslash y = t \Rightarrow z \odot t = y$ for any $t \in Q$, then $(z \odot t) \odot t^{-1} = z \ \forall z \in Q$

5. Apply RIP into (1), we have

$$(ys^{-1})\backslash z \odot s = hs^{-1}\backslash h[(z\backslash y)^{-1}] \odot s$$

Put $z = y$, and $y = e$ get $s = s^{-1}$ for all $s \in Q$

6. Put $y = z = e$ in (1), we have

$$s^{-1}\backslash s = (h/s)\backslash(hs) \Rightarrow h/s = hs$$

By applying $s = s^{-1}$, we have $(h \odot s) \odot s = h$ for all $s, h \in Q$.

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

7. Let s = h in (23), we have

$$(y/h)\backslash z \odot g = [h/(z\backslash y) \odot h].$$

Then, let y → z, we have $(z/h)\backslash z \odot h = h^2 \Leftrightarrow (z/h) \odot h^2 = z \odot h$. Let $z/h = t \Rightarrow z = t \odot h$ for all $t \in Q, \Rightarrow t \odot h^2 = (t \odot h) \odot h$. □

Theorem 20. Every loop (Q, ⊙, /, \) isostrophic to MBL satisfies the following;

1. (s ⊙ z)/(s\y) = s ⊙ (y/z)\h/(s\h).
2. h ⊙ ht = h^2 ⊙ t ∀ $h, t \in Q$.
3. h/(h\y) = h ⊙ (y\h) ∀ $h, y \in Q$.
4. $h/h^{-1} = h^2$ ∀$h \in Q$.
5. $z = t^{-1} \odot tz$ ∀ $t, z \in Q$.
6. $s = s^{-1}$ ∀s ∈Q.
7. $s \odot z = s \odot (z^{-1})^{-1}$ ∀ $s, z \in Q$.

Proof. 1. Considering the equality (12). Doing the following steps: Let y → y/g and z → z/h, we have

$$(s \odot z)/(s\backslash y) = s \odot (y/z)\backslash h/(s\backslash h). \quad (24)$$

2. Let s → h and y → z in (24), we have

$$hz/(h\backslash z) = h^2 \Rightarrow hz = h^2(h\backslash z)$$

Let h\z = t ⇒ h ⊙ t = z for any t∈Q, so, h ⊙ ht = h^2 ⊙ t ∀ h, t ∈Q.

3. Let s → h and z = e, we have

$$h/(h\backslash y) = h \odot (y\backslash h)$$

for all h,y,∈ Q.

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

4. Let $y = e$ in $h/(h\backslash y) = h \odot (y\backslash h)$, give $h/h^{-1} = h^2$ for all $h \in Q$.

5. Let $s \to h = e$ in (24), we have

$$z/y = (y/z)^{-1} \Rightarrow z = (y/z)^{-1} \odot y$$

. Let $y/z = t \Rightarrow y = tz$. Then, $z = t^{-1} \odot tz$ for all $t, z \in Q$.

6. Let $z \to y$ and $s \to h$ in (24), we have $s = s^{-1}$ for all $s \in Q$.

7. Apply LIP and put $h \to y = e$ in (24), get
$s \odot z = s \odot (e/z)^{-1} = s \odot (z^{-1})^{-1}$.

□

Corollary 21. Let $(Q, \odot)$ be a loop under the isostrophy of MBL. Then,

$$(E_z L_z)^n = \begin{cases} L_z^n E_z^{-1}, & if\ n\ is\ odd \\ L_z^n, & if\ n\ is\ even \end{cases}$$

For all natural numbern.

Proof.Using Theorem 19(2)

. □

Corollary 22. Let $(Q, \odot)$ be a loop under the isostrophy of MBL. Then, $(E_x^{-1} R_x)^2 = e$.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 19(3). □

Corollary 23. Let $(Q, e, \odot)$ be a loop under the isostrophy of MBL. Then, $(Q, e, \odot)$ is a left inverse property loop if and only if it satisfies the identity

$$sz/(h^{-1}y) = s \odot (y/z)^{-1} h/(s^{-1}h).$$

Proof. Suppose that Q is a left inverse property, then from identity (12), we have

$$(s \odot z)/(s^{-1}y) = s \odot (y/z)^{-1} h/(s^{-1}h)$$

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

for all $s, y, z, h \in Q$.
Conversely, suppose that $(s \odot z)/(s^{-1}y) = s \odot (y/z)^{-1}h\ /(s^{-1}h)$, then put $h \to y$ we have

$$(s \odot z)/(s^{-1}y) = s \odot (y/z)^{-1}y/(s^{-1}y) \Leftrightarrow$$
$$sz = s \odot (y/z)^{-1}y.$$

Let $y/z \to t \Leftrightarrow y = tz$. Then, $s \odot z = s \odot (t^{-1} \odot (t \odot z)) \Leftrightarrow z = t^{-1} \odot (t \odot z)$. □

Corollary 24. Let $(Q, e, \odot)$ be a loop under the isostrophy of MBL. Then, $(Q, e, \odot)$ is a right inverse property loop if and only if it satisfies

$$(y \odot s^{-1})\backslash zs = hs^{-1}\backslash[h/(z\backslash y)^{-1} \odot s]$$

Proof. Follow the steps in Corollary 23. □

Corollary 25. Every commutative loop $(Q, \odot)$ under the isostrophy of middle Bol loop is an inverse property loop.

Proof. It follows from Corollaries 23 and 24. □

Corollary 26. Every commutative loop $(Q, \odot)$ under isostrophy to MBL has an alternative property.

Proof. Apply the Theorem 19 and 20 couple with the fact that $(Q, \odot)$ is commutative, then $(Q, \odot)$ is an alternative property loop.

□

Corollary 27. Every commutative loop $(Q, \odot)$ under isostrophy of MBL is power associative.

Proof. Consequence of Theorem 19 and Theorem 20 couple with the fact that $(Q, \odot)$ is commutative. □

Corollary 28. Every commutative loop $(Q, \odot)$ under the isostrophy of MBL is Steiner loop.

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Proof. Apply the right symmetric property in Theorem 19 couple with the fact that $(Q, \odot)$ is commutative, then $(Q, \odot)$ is symmetric. Thus, $(Q, \odot)$ is a Steiner loop □

Remark 2. It is observed that identity (11) is a mirror of the identity (12) under the commutative property.

Theorem 29. Every loop isostrophic to a commutative loop $(Q, \odot)$ is MBL if and only if it satisfies the eqaulity

$$(gy \odot s^{-1})^{-1} (fz^{-1}) \odot s = (hs^{-1})^{-1} h(hz^{-1})^{-1} \odot gy^{-1} \odot s .$$

Proof. Suppose $(Q, \odot)$ is a commutative loop which is MBL invariant under isostrophy of loops, apply the inverse property in Theorem 19 on Theorem 18, we obtain

$$(gy \odot s^{-1})^{-1} (hz^{-1}) \odot s = (hs^{-1})^{-1} h(hz^{-1})^{-1} \odot gy^{-1} \odot s. \tag{25}$$

Conversely, let $(Q, \odot)$ be a loop with the equality (25). Let $z \to h$ in (25) get

$$(gy \odot s^{-1})^{-1} \odot s = (h \odot s^{-1})^{-1} h(gy)^{-1} \odot s \tag{26}$$

. Let $s = e$ in (26) the identity element in loop $(Q, \odot)$, we have

$$(gy)^{-1} = h^{-1}(h(gy)^{-1}) \tag{27}$$

Put $(g \odot y)^{-1} \to z$ for any $z \in Q$, give

$$z = h^{-1} \odot (h \odot z) \ \forall \ h, z \in Q$$

Since $(Q, \odot)$ is a commutative loop, we get that it is right (left) inverse property loop, that is, $(Q, \odot)$ is a loop with invariant MBL under the isostrophy of loops. □

Theorem 30. A commutative loop $(Q, \odot)$ with invariant MBL under the isostrophy of a loop is a Moufang.

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Proof. Suppose that $(Q, \odot)$ is a commutative loop with invariant MBL under the isostrophy of a loops then, we show that $(Q, \odot)$ is Moufang loop. Using Theorems 18, Theorem 19 and corollary 25 then, $(Q, \odot)$ is an inverse property loop and satisfies the identity (25). Doing the following steps: Let $y \to g^{-1}y$ and $z^{-1} \to h^{-1}z$ in (25), we have

$$(ys^{-1})^{-1} \odot zs = (hs^{-1})^{-1}\ h(z^{-1}y)^{-1} \odot s$$
$$\Rightarrow (y^{-1}s) \odot zs = (hs^{-1})^{-1}\ h(zy^{-1}) \odot s$$

Since, $(Q, \odot)$ is commutative, we have

$$(sy^{-1}) \odot (z \odot s) = (hs^{-1})^{-1}\ h(y^{-1}z) \odot s. \tag{28}$$

Setting $s \to h$ in (28), we have

$$(hy^{-1}) \odot zh = (h(y^{-1}z)) \odot h$$
$$\Rightarrow hy^{-1} \odot hz = h \odot (h(y^{-1}z)).$$

Using Corollary 26 to get

$$hy^{-1} \odot hz = h^2(y^{-1}z).$$

Replace y^{-1} with s, we have

$$hs \odot hz = h^2(s \odot z)\ \forall h, s, z \in Q.$$

Thus, $(Q, \odot)$ is a commutative Moufang loop.

□

3 Conclusion

This research provides valuable insights into the algebraic properties of a middle Bol loop. It is well established that a middle Bol loop (MBL) does not exhibit the alternative property, is not an inverse property loop, and cannot be classified as a Moufang

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

loop. However, this study presents the novel finding that under the isostrophic characterization, MBL does indeed satisfy the aforementioned properties of a loop. Specifically, we have demonstrated that the middle Bol loop, when subjected to the isostrophy of a loop, qualifies as an inverse property loop. Consequently, it is also identified as a commutative Moufang loop.

References

[1] J. O. Adeniran, T. G. Jaiyéọlá and K. A. Idowu, Holomorph of generalized Bol loops, Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics, 44, no. 1, (2014), 37–51.

[2] S. Burris, and H. P. Sankappanavar, A course in universal algebra. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 78. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin. (1981) xvi+276 .

[3] V. D. Belousov, Algebraic nets and quasigroups. (Russian), Kishinev, "Shtiintsa",(1971).

[4] V. D. Belousov Foundations of the theory of quasigroups and loops Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow (Russian) 223 pp(1967).

[5] V. D. Belousov and E. I. Sokolov, N-ary inverse quasigroups (I-quasigroups). (Russian) Mat. Issled. No. 102, Issled. Oper. i Kvazigrupp,118, (1988), 26–36

[6] A. Drapal, and V. A. Shcherbacov, Identities and the group of isostrophisms, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 53(3), (2012), 347–374.

[7] T. A. Fisher and F. Yates, The 6x6 latin squares, Proc. Soc 30, (1934), 429-507.

[8] T. Foguel, M. K. Kinyon and J. O. Phillips, On twisted sub-groups and Bol loops of odd order. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 36, no. 1, (2006), 183–212

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

[9] I. Grecu, and P. Syrbu, Commutants of middle Bol loops, Quasigroups and Related Systems, 22, (2014), 81–88.

[10] I. Grecu and P. Syrbu, On Some Isostrophy Invariants of Bol Loops, Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov, Series III: Mathematics, Informatics, Physics, 5 (54), (2012), 145–154.

[11] A. Gvaramiya, On a class of loops (Russian), Uch. Zapiski MAPL. 375, (1971), 25-34.

[12] T. G. Jaiyéolá, S. P. David and Y. T. Oyebo, New algebraic properties of middle Bol loops. ROMAI J. 11, no. 2, (2015), 161–183.

[13] T. G. Jailyéolá, S. P. David, and O.O. Oyebola, New alge-braic properties of middle Bol loops II. Proyecciones, 40, no. 1, (2021), 85–106.

[14] T. G. Jaiyéolá, S. P. David, E. Ilojide.Y.T. Oyebo, Holomorphic structure of middle Bol loops. Khayyam J. Math. 3, no. 2, (2017), 172–184.

[15] T. G. Jaiyéọlá, On Smarandache Bryant Schneider group of A Smarandache loop, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics, 2, (2008), 51–63. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.820935

[16] T. G. Jaiyéọlá and B. A. Popoola, Holomorph of gen-eralized Bol loops II, Discussiones Mathematicae-General Algebra and Applications, 35, no. 1, (2015), 59–-78. doi:10.7151/dmgaa.1234.

[17] T. G. Jaiyéọlá, J. O. Adéníran and A.R.T Sòlárìn, Some nec-essary conditions for the existence of a finite Osborn loop with trivial nucleus, Algebras, Groups and Geometries, 28(4), (2011), 363–380.

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

[18] T. G. Jaiyéọlá, J. O. Adéníran and A. A. A. Agboola, On the Second Bryant Schneider Group of Universal Osborn loops, So-cietatea Română de Matematică Aplicată si Industrială Jour-nal (ROMAI J.), 9 (1), (2013), 37–50.

[19] T. G. Jaiyéọlá, A study of new concepts in smarandache quasi-groups and loop, Proquest Information and Learning(ILQ), Ann Arbor, 127pp.(2009).

[20] T. G. Jaiyéọlá, B. Osoba and A. Oyem, Isostrophy Bryant-Schneider Group-Invariant of Bol Loops, Buletinul Academiei De Stiinte a Republicii Moldova. 9(99), (2022), 3–18.

[21] E. Kuznetsov, t Gyrogroups and left gyrogroups as transver-sals of a special kind, Algebraic and discrete Mathematics, 3, (2003), 54–81.

[22] B. Osoba and Y. T. Oyebo, On Multiplication Groups of Mid-dle Bol Loop Related to Left Bol Loop, Int. J. Math. And Appl., 6 (4), (2018), 149–155.

[23] B. Osoba and Y. T. Oyebo, On Relationship of Multiplication Groups and Isostrophic quasogroups, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (IJMTT) 2 (58), (2018), 80–84.

[24] Osoba, B and Jaiyéọlá, T. G. (2022). Algebraic Connections between Right and Middle Bol loops and their Cores, Quasi-groups and Related Systems. 30(1), 149-160.

[25] B. Osoba, T. G. Jaiyeola and Oluwatobi Balogun, Bryant-Schneider Group of Basarab Loop, Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics. 50, (5),(2026), 1297–1312.

[26] Benard Osoba, T, G. Jaiyéolá, Y. T. Oyebo and A. O. Isere, Some Charaterization of Generalized Middle Bol loop, Buletinul Academiei De Stiinte a Republicii Moldova. (Paper accepted).

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

[27] Osoba Benard and Oyebo Yakub Tunde, Isostro-phy invariant α−elastic property, Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics, 45 (6), (2024), 1373-1388.

[28] B. Osoba, A. O. Abdulkareem, Y. T. Oyebo and A. Oyem, Some Algebraic Characterisations of Gen-eralised Middle Bol Loops, Discussiones Mathematicae-General Algebra and Applications. 45, (2025), 101-124.

[29] Y. T. Oyebo, B. Osoba and T.G. Jaiyéọlá, Crypto-automorphism group of some quasigroups, Discussiones Mathematicae-General Algebra and Applications, 44(1), (2024), 57–72. doi.org/10.7151/dmgaa.1433

[30] B. Osoba and Y. T. Oyebo, On the Core of Second Smarandach Bol Loops, International Jour-nal of Mathematical Combinatorics, 2, (2023), 18–30. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.32303.

[31] Y. T. Oyebo and B. Osoba, More Results on the Al-gebraic Properties of Middle Bol loops, Journal of the Nigerian Mathematical Society, bf 41(2), (2022), 129-142.

[32] B. Osoba, Smarandache Nuclei of Second Smaran-dache Bol Loops, Scientia Magna Journal, 17 (1), (2022), 11-21.

[33] Pflugfelder, Hala O. Quasigroups and loops: intro-duction. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 7. Hel-dermann Verlag, Berlin. (1990). viii+147

[34] D. A. Robinson, The Bryant-Schneider group of a loop, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles Sér. I 94 , no. 2-3, (1980), 69–81.

[35] S. K. Stein, On the foundations of quasigroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 85, (1957), 228–256.

Received: July 29, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 2s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

[36] V. A. Shcherbacov, On the structure of left and right F-, SM-, and E-quasigroups. J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl 3 (3), (2009), 197–259.

[37] V. A. Shcherbacov, A-nuclei and A-centers of quasi-group, Institute of mathematics and computer Science Academiy of Science of Moldova Academiei str. 5, (2011), Chisinau, MD -2028, Moldova

[38] P. Syrbu, Loops with universal elasticity, Quasigroups Related Systems, 1, (1994), 57–65.

[39] P. Syrbu, On loops with universal elasticity, Quasi-groups Related Systems, 3, (1996), 41–54.

[40] P. Syrbu, On middle Bol loops, ROMAI J., 6, 2, (2010), 229–236.

[41] Syrbu, P. and Grecu, I. (2013), On some groups related to middle Bol loops, Revistă Ştiinţifică a Universităţii de Stat din Moldova, 7(67), 10–18.

[42] P. Syrbu and I. Grecu, On Multiplication Groups of Isostrophy, Revist? a Stiintica a Universitatii de Stat din Moldova, 7(67), (2014), 1018.

[43] P. Syrbu and I. Grecu, Loops with invariant flexibility under the isostrophy, Bulentinul academiesl de Stiintie a Republiciln Moldova, 1 (92), (2020), 122–128.

[44] V. A. Shcherbacov, Elements of quasigroup theory and ap-plications. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathe-matics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL(2017).

[45] A. Vanžurová, Cores of Bol loops and symmetric groupoids, Bul. Acad. Ştiinţe Repub. Mold. Mat, no. 3, (2005), 153–164.

.

Received: July 29, 2025